

General

ID ¹			
Use case name	AI to understand adulteration in commonly used food items		
Context	Malpractices in food items		
Application domain	Wellness		
Status	Initial validation done		
Contributor	Name	Affiliation	Contact
	Sanjay Kimbahune, C. Anantaram	Tata Consultancy Services Limited	c.anantaram@tcs.com
Scope ²	Understand the patterns in hyperspectral / NIR or visual imaging specifically for adulteration in milk, banana and mangoes		
Objective(s)	To device a simple , cost effective tool to identify the adulteration in food items at point of purchase		
Narrative	Short description (not more than 150 words)	Food adulteration is one of the big evil of modern society. Hyperspectral technology was evaluated to find out adulteration in food items	
	Complete description	Food adulteration is becoming menace specially with adulterants that are either carcinogenic or harmful to body parts like kidney. To give few examples, Milk is adulterated with Soda, Urea and detergents. Whereas mangoes and bananas are quickly ripened by calcium carbide and so on. Common man cannot live without these items. There is no frugal way to identify these type of adulterations. Experiment of controlled adulteration was done and hyperspectral reflectance reading were taken. AI helped to find the patterns in hyperspectral signature and was able to reliably classify (90% ++) samples that were unadulterated and adulterated.	
Key performance indicators (KPIs)	ID	Name	Description
	1	Features related to adulterants in radio spectrum	Intensities around NIR range
AI features	Taks(s)	Prediction	
	Method(s) ³	Machine learning	
	Hardware ⁴	Hyperspectral camera	
	Terms and concepts used ⁵	Machine Learning	
Challenges and issues	Challenges: Large scale data collection, Miniaturization of frugal NIR / Hyperspectral sensor		
Societal concerns	Adulterated milk is hazard for children, many ailments including cancer / kidney failures due to consumption of adulterated food. If the AI system is rolled out and taken as reliable then it should be able to perform in all cases and scenarios.		

Data (optional)

Data characteristics	
Description	Hyperspectral signatures (300 nm to 1300 nm @ 30 nm band)
Source ⁶	Hyperspectral camera
Type ⁷	
Volume (size)	~ 500 samples
Velocity (e.g. real time) ⁸	
Variety (multiple datasets) ⁹	
Variability (rate of change) ¹⁰	
Quality ¹¹	

Process scenario (optional)

Scenario conditions				
No.	Scenario name	Scenario description	Triggering event	Pre-condition ¹²

Training (optional)

Scenario name		Training		
Step No.	Event ¹³	Name of process/Activity ¹⁴	Primary actor	Description of process/activity

Specification of training data¹⁵

Evaluation (optional)

Scenario name	Evaluation			
Step No.	Event ¹⁶	Name of process/Activity ¹⁷	Primary actor	Description of process/activity

Input of evaluation ¹⁸
Output of evaluation ¹⁹

Execution (optional)

Scenario name		Execution		
Step No.	Event ²⁰	Name of process/Activity ²¹	Primary actor	Description of process/activity

Input of Execution ²²	
Output of Execution ²³	

Retraining (optional)

Scenario name		Retraining		
Step No.	Event ²⁴	Name of process/Activity ²⁵	Primary actor	Description of process/activity

Specification of retraining data ²⁶	
--	--

Footnote

¹Leave this cell blank.

²The scope defines the limits of the use case.

³AI method(s)/framework(s) used.

⁴Hardware system used.

⁵Terms and concepts listed here can be used to extend the work of WG 1 (AWI 22989 and AWI 23053) as necessary.

⁶Origin of data, which could be from instruments, IoT, web, surveys, commercial activity, or from simulations.

⁷Structured/unstructured Images, voices, text, gene sequences, and numerical. Composite: time-series, graph-structured

⁸The rate of flow at which the data is created, stored, analysed, or visualized.

⁹Data from a number of domains and a number of data types. The wider range of data formats, logical models, timescales, and semantics complicates the integration of the variety of data.

¹⁰Changes in data rate, format/structure, semantics, and/or quality.

¹¹Completeness and accuracy of the data with respect to semantic content as well as syntactical of the data (such as presence of missing fields or incorrect values)

¹²Describe which condition(s) should have been met before this scenario happens.

¹³The event that triggers the step. This might be completion of the previous event.

¹⁴Action verbs should be used when naming activity.

¹⁵Training data can be further specified.

¹⁶The event that triggers the step. This might be completion of the previous event.

¹⁷Action verbs should be used when naming activity.

¹⁸Specify input of evaluation.

¹⁹Specify output of evaluation.

²⁰The event that triggers the step. This might be completion of the previous event.

²¹Action verbs should be used when naming activity.

²²Specify input of evaluation.

²³Specify output of evaluation.

²⁴The event that triggers the step. This might be completion of the previous event.

²⁵Action verbs should be used when naming activity.

²⁶Retraining data can be further specified.